

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,329	02/13/2001	Mitchell Kriegman	F.11152	9809
27957	7590 11/29/2005		EXAMINER	
	SHAPIRO MORIN &	TRAN, TRANG U		
	E OF THE AMERICAS NY 10038-2714		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2614	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/782,329	KRIEGMAN, MITCHELL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Trang U. Tran	2614	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Trang U. Tran	2614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	rass				
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			, 000				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
	) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowater)  (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.14. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s.)	ensideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally real 16 and 41.33(a)). 121. See attached Notice of Non-Co.):	TE below); educing or simplifying ejected claims. ompliant Amendment	the issues for the is				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	·	-	_				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 14 and 15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10.	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).					
13. Other:							
		HN MILLER Y PATENT EXAMINER	<del></del> -				

**SCHNOLOGY CENTER 2600** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations in claims 14 and 15 raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.